



SC Cooperative for Healthy Aging in Minority Populat
Resource Centers for Minority Aging Research

RCMAR Measurement Tools

Measuring Medication Adherence

Home

Search the Website
Mission
Overarching Goals
RCMAR Model

Cores

Administrative Core
Measurement and
Methods Core
Community Liaison
Core
Investigator
Development Core

RCMAR Scholars

Supplements

Current Events

References

Web Links
MUSC Resources
GCRC at MUSC
Health Disparities
Research at MUSC

Contact Information

Investigators
Contacts
RCMAR
Webmaster

Vik et al. (2004) reviewed the state of measuring medication adherence through a literature search of MEDLINE, PubMed, and International Pharmaceutical Abstracts using the following keywords: elderly, adherence/nonadherence, compliance/noncompliance, medication/drug, methodology/measurement, and hospitalization. The authors state that although several methods are available for the assessment of adherence, accurate measurement continues to be difficult. At present there is no generally accepted "Gold Standard" for measuring adherence. Krouwer et al. (2004) also provide a summary of methods to assess medication adherence.

Biological Assays

Biological assays measure the concentration of a drug, its metabolites, or tracer compound in the blood or urine of a patient. These measures are intrusive and often costly to administer. Patients who know that they will be tested may consciously take medication that they had been skipping so the tests will not detect individuals who have been nonadherent. Drug or food interactions, physiological differences, dosing schedules, and the half-life of the drugs may influence the results. Biological tracers that have known half-lives and do not interfere with medication may be used, but there are ethical concerns. All of these methods have high costs and the assays that limit the feasibility of these techniques. (Vik et al. 2004)

Pill Counts

Counting the number of pills remaining in a patient's supply and calculating the number of pills that the patient has taken since filling the prescription is the easiest method for calculating medication adherence. Some data indicate that this technique may underestimate adherence in older populations (Grymonpre et al. 1998). Patterns of non-adherence are often difficult to discern with a simple count of pills on a certain date weeks to months after the prescription is filled. Because pill counts are often based upon the date a prescription is filled, patients who refill prescriptions prior to their first one running out and then combining pills into a single (possibly non-original) bottle presents complications. Loss of data is common among many studies. (Vik et al. 2004)

Weight of Topical Medications

Hess et al. (2005) used the weight of a topical medication remaining in a tube as a measure of adherence. When compared with patient log books of daily medication use, weight estimates of adherence were considerably lower than patient log estimates. They recommend that clinical trials involving topical applications incorporate medication weights as the primary measure of adherence. In a comparison of methods to measure adherence, Carroll et al. (2004) found that estimates calculated from medication logs and medication weights were consistently higher than those of electronic monitors.

Electronic Monitoring

The Medication Event Monitoring System (MEMS) manufactured by [Aardex Corporation](#) allows for the assessment of the number of pills missed during a period as well as adherence to a dosing schedule. The system electronically monitors when the pill bottle is opened, and the resulting

can periodically download the information to a computer. The availability and cost of this service could limit the feasibility of its use. On the Aardex web site there is a [bibliography](#) of public that use the MEMS.

Pharmacy Records and Prescription Claims

This method can be used primarily for medications that are taken for chronic illnesses (such as hypertension). Concerns regarding the completeness and reliability of these records have been expressed (Vik et al. 2004). These records provide only an indirect measure of drug consumption. Patterns of over and under consumption for periods less than that between refills cannot be assessed.

Patient Interviews

Studies have consistently shown that third-party assessments of medication adherence by healthcare providers tend to overestimate patients' adherence (Vik et al. 2004). Interviewing patients to assess their knowledge of the medications they have been prescribed and the dosing schedule provide little information as to whether the patient is adherent with the actual dosing schedule. Subjective assessments by interviewers can bias adherence estimates. This method is rarely used in medical research to assess adherence (Vik et al. 2004).

Patient Estimates of Adherence

Direct questioning of patients to assess adherence can be an effective method. Patients who admit to nonadherence are generally accurate in their assessment. However, patients who do not report adherence may be underreporting their nonadherence to avoid caregiver disapproval (Vik et al. 2004). Other methods may need to be employed to detect these patients.

Scaled Questionnaires

→ [Morisky et al. \(1986\)](#) developed a 4-item scaled questionnaire to assess adherence with antihypertensive treatment. Their scale demonstrated acceptable psychometric properties.

[Li et al. \(2005\)](#) developed four instruments to measure antihypertensive medication adherence in a population of Chinese immigrants in the US. Their measures are culturally sensitive and demonstrate good reliability.

The Hill-Bone Compliance to High Blood Pressure Therapy Scale includes 14 items, 8 of which are directed at assessing medication taking behavior in hypertensive patients (Hill et al. 2004). Not only is this method relatively simple and economically feasible to use, but it has the advantage of soliciting information regarding situational factors that interfere with medication adherence (e.g. forgetfulness, remembering to bring medications along when out of town) (Krousel-Wood et al. 2004).

The Compliance-Questionnaire-Rheumatology (CQR) is a 19-item questionnaire that has been favorably compared with electronic medication event monitoring (de Klerk et al. 2003). This instrument has good validity and reliability.

Medication Adherence Self-Efficacy Scale

The purpose of the MASE scale is so clinicians and researchers can identify situations in which patients have low self-efficacy in adhering to prescribed medications. [Link](#)

References

Carroll CL, Feldman SR, Camacho FT, Manuel JC, Balkrishnan R (2004) Adherence to topical therapy decreases during the course of an 8-week psoriasis clinical trial: commonly used methods of measuring adherence to topical therapy overestimate actual use. *Journal of the American Academy of Dermatology* 51:100-106.

Academy of Dermatology. 51(2):212-6.

de Klerk E, van der Heijde D, Landewe R, van der Tempel H, van der Linden S (2003) The compliance-questionnaire-rheumatology compared with electronic medication event monit validation study. *Journal of Rheumatology*. 30(11):2469-75.

Grymonpre RE, CD Didur, PR Montgomery, DS Sitar. (1998) Pill count, self-report, and pharmacy claims data to measure medication adherence in the elderly. *Ann. Pharmacothe* 749-754.

Hess LM, K Saboda, DC Malone, S Salasche, J Warneke, DS Alberts (2005) Adherence Assessment Using Medication Weight in a Phase IIb Clinical Trial of Difluoromethylornithin the Chemoprevention of Skin Cancer. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev* 14: 2579-2583.

Kim MT, Hill MN, Bone LR (2000) Development and testing of the Hill-Bone Compliance to Blood Pressure Therapy Scale. *Prog Cardiovasc Nurs* 15:90-96.

Krousel-Wood, M, S Thomas, P Muntner, D Morisky (2004) Medication adherence: a key fa achieving blood pressure control and good clinical outcomes in hypertensive patients. *Curr Opinion in Cardiology*. 19(4):357-62.

Li W-W, AL Stewart, NA Stotts (2005) Cultural factors and medication compliance in Chine-immigrants who are taking antihypertensive medications: instrument development. *J Nursi Meas*. 13: 231-52.

Morisky DE, LW Green, DM Levine (1986) Concurrent and predictive validity of a self-repor measure of medication adherence. *Med. Care* 24: 67-74.

Vik, SA, CJ Maxwell, DB Hogan (2004) Measurement, correlates, and health outcomes of medication adherence among seniors. *Annals of Pharmacotherapy* 38, 303-312.

f you know of a measurement tool that should be included in this list please contact the Measurement Tools Site administrator: [Mark Geesey](#)